THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

W.P.No.42 of 2007

Date:23.01.2007

Between:

M/s.Tarakarama Timbers

.. PETITIONER

AND

The Divisional Forest Officer, Hyderabad and another

..RESPONDENT

ORDER:

The petitioner was granted a licence to run a Saw Mill by the first respondent on 27.05.2003 and it was being renewed from time to time. On 30.07.2005, a show-cause notice was issued by the first respondent requiring the petitioner to explain as to why the licence shall not be cancelled in view of the ban imposed by the Supreme Court in its order, dated 16.11.2002 in W.P.No.202 of 1995. The petitioner submitted its explanation on 17.08.2005. Not being satisfied with the explanation, the first respondent cancelled the licence on 10.01.2006.

The petitioner filed W.P.No.13389 of 2006, assailing the orders, dated 10.01.2006. The Central Empowered Committee, the second respondent herein, was also impleaded in that writ petition. In W.P.M.P.No.16642 of 2006, an interim order was passed on 03.07.2006, leaving it open to the petitioner to make a representation to the first respondent, within one week from that date and the latter, in turn, was directed to refer the representation to the second respondent for necessary action. One week time was fixed for the second respondent to pass appropriate orders. This writ petition is filed stating that though a representation, in view of the interim orders passed by this Court, was made on 06.07.2006, the respondents have not taken any action thereon.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondents.

The petitioner had already assailed the order of cancellation of its licence. This Court indicated certain course in relation thereto. If the petitioner is of the view that the orders passed by this Court in W.P.M.P.No.16642 of 2006 in W.P.No.13389 of 2006 are not complied with, the remedy is elsewhere and a separate writ petition cannot be maintained for the same relief.

Therefore, the writ petition is dismissed, leaving it open to the petitioner to seek enforcement of the order, dated 03.07.2006, passed in W.P.M.P.No.16642 of 2006 in W.P.No.13389 of 2006, in accordance with law. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

23.01.2007

kdl